

Chicago, IL 60606

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 14 Virginia 22313-1450 www.inpia.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING OATE	FIRST NAMEO INVENTOR	ATTORNEY OOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/046,452	01/14/2002	Oscar Jimenez	01078	4030
	7590 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas R. V c/o Welsh & K			NOLAN, SA	ANDRA M
22nd Floor	aitz, Etd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
120 South Riv	erside Plaza		1772	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/046,452	JIMENEZ, OSCAR
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Sandra M. Nolan	1772
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 c in SIX (6) MONTHS form the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days operiod for reply is spodified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent lems digustment. See 37 CFR 1.734(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  i, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire \$1% (6) MO! statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ISANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 April 2004.	
, –		This action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al	llowance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	ider <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) dobjected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage
	application from the International B	Jureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/046,452

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims

1. Claims 1-15 are pending.

### Entry of New Specification

 The new specification submitted on 29 December 2003 has been entered. It contains 11 pages and is found in the Office's eDAN/IFW system as a 12-29-03 entry.

# Objections/Rejections Withdrawn

- The objection to claim 5 set out in section 3 of the 24 June 2003 office action (the last office action) is withdrawn in view of the amendment in the 08 April2004 response.
- 4. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1 and 2 expressed in section 4 of the last office action is withdrawn in view of the amendment in the 08 April 2004 response.
- 5. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claim 2 set out in section 5 of the last office action is withdrawn in view of the amendment in the 08 April 2004 response.

## Rejection Maintained

 The 35 USC 102 rejection of claims 1-15 recited in section 7 of the last office action is maintained for reasons of record.

# New Objections

#### Claim Objection

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10-13 are objected to because of various informalities.
 Adoption of the following suggestions would overcome this objection.

In claim 1, it is suggested that "one of" be deleted from line 2.

In claim 2, it is suggested that "one of" be deleted from lines 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,452

Art Unit: 1772

In claim 3, it is suggested that –and-- be inserted after "tubing," in line 1 and that "and" be deleted after "tubing," in line 2.

In claim 4, it is suggested that "4" be cancelled.

In claim 6, it is suggested that "4" be cancelled.

In claim 7, it is suggested that it is suggested that –and-- be inserted after "tubing," in line 1 and that "and" be deleted after "tubing," in line 2.

In claim 8, it is suggested that a space be inserted immediately after "between" in line 2.

In claim 10, it is suggested that "one of" be deleted from line 2.

In claim 11, it is suggested that a space be added immediately after "7" in line 1.

In claim 12, it is suggested that -and-- be inserted after "tubing," in line 1 and that "and" be deleted after "tubing," in line 2.

In claim 13, it is suggested that -weight- be inserted after "by" in line 3.

If made, the changes suggested above will correct typographical errors and simplify the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## New Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,452

Art Unit: 1772

9. Claims 1 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acquarulo et al (US 2003/0229184A1; CIP of SN. 10/129,921, filed as a 371 case based on PCT/US00/31174, filed 13 November 2000).

Acquarulo teaches 1 to 20 wt% of nanoclay particles to enhance the flexural and tensile properties of nylon shaft/balloon catheters (see par. 0032). It uses nylon 12 (see par 0028).

#### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed in the 08 April 2004 response have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Specifically, the declaration submitted with the 08 April 2004 response does not overcome the 35 USC 102 rejection based on Weber.

The declaration filed on 08 April 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Weber reference (US 2003/0065355A1).

The evidence submitted in the 08 April 2004 submission is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Weber reference. The declaration does not say where the events described occurred.

Furthermore, the declaration submitted on 08 April 2004 is not supported by exhibits of facts or documentary evidence. See MPEP 715.07.

It is suggested that applicant submit a signed declaration containing all of the exhibits referred to in the signed declaration dated 08 April 2004.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/046,452

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M.

Nolan, whose telephone number is 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 10046452(20040602)